

AICGS Conference on Rising Tensions in East Asia, Washington D.C., October 24th, 2014

Leadership and reconciliation: the case of China

Pascal Abb GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies

# Leadership in the Chinese context

 Practice of collective leadership: highest tier selected for their adherence to party mainstream and coalitionbuilding ability



Politburo Standing Committee at the 18th Party Congress, 2012

# Leadership in the Chinese context

 Limited role for personal initiative and high policy inertia; overriding importance of maintaining the CCP's position in power



Politburo Standing Committee at the 18th Party Congress, 2012

#### Leadership: the domestic dimension

- Usage of history in legitimizing the party's claim to power: contrast between the "century of humiliation" and the achievements since 1949, reflected in current paroles ("China dream", "national rejuvenation")
- Very strong focus on Japanese atrocities during WWII and perceived present-day revisionism: "militarism" as a prevalent meme, despite the implausibility of renewed aggression; dominance of historical grievances over present-day first-hand experience

## Leadership: the domestic dimension

- Consequences for historical research and the development of shared perspectives:
  - National monopoly of the CCP narrative, especially in school curricula
  - Foreigners prevented from accessing Chinese sources
  - Priority for national perspectives, interpreting current events through a Chinese historical lens (i.e., "Chinese IR")

## Leadership: the international dimension

- National rejuvenation as restoration of China's leading role in East Asia - but based on consent, not hegemony?
- Present-day territorial conflicts (not only with Japan) caught up in historical narrative of colonialism and humiliation - focal points of Chinese nationalism and revisionism, high symbolic value makes it hard to deescalate and compromise
- Difficulty of controlling grassroots sentiment: exploitation not only by CCP, but also commercial media

## Leadership: the international dimension

- Reconciliation as a prerequisite of international leadership? Example of the EU/ Germany: establishing trust in non-hegemonic intentions, community-building instead of balancing/ containment
- Fragility of purely economic ties (e.g., WWI); lack of engagement between civil societies due to statism and suspicion towards NGOs

# Suggestions for the future

- Encourage the development of cross-national civil society ties (possibly using trilateral models) - youth exchanges especially important as a counter to demonizations
- Keeping up engagement with Chinese intellectuals and encouraging contributions to global debates rather than retreating into national parochialisms
- Encouragement of Chinese stakeholdership at the regional level, coupled with reassurance of neighbors